

Question/Comment #88Reference

L.1(c) requires similar but different information from L.3(b). L.7 requires DUNS information be entered in the block with its name and address on the cover page of its offer.

Question/Comment

Is the title page, cover page and cover letter one and the same or is there a requirement to submit a title page, cover page and cover letter to meet L.1, L.3 & L.7 instructions? Is the cover page referenced in L.7 referring to the SF33?

Answer #88

Offerors shall submit a cover page in addition to the Standard Form 33 in Volume I of their proposals. All of the information requested in L.1(c) and L.3(b) of the Request for Proposals, including the DUNS information, may be submitted on the cover page.

Question/Comment #89Reference

L.3 (h) and Section K. clauses H.37& H.50. All three references require identification of Responsible Corporate Official.

Question/Comment

Is this an administrative error which should be changed to reflect identification of responsible corporate official in one location?

Answer #89

Section K.6 of the Request for Proposals requires that an authorized representative of the Offeror certify that the representations and certifications are accurate, current and complete. Offerors shall specify the name of the Responsible Corporate Official in Volume I of their proposals as required by L.3(h). The information pertaining to the Offeror's Responsible Corporate Official in Volume I will be incorporated by the Government into Clause H.35 entitled "Performance Guarantee and Responsible Corporate Official" upon contract award.

Question/Comment #90Reference

Section K. H.35, H.37 & H.50.

Question/Comment

Is a Performance Guarantee required for an Offeror who is not a joint venture, newly formed Limited Liability Company or similar entity where more than one company is involved?

Answer #90

Please refer to Clause H.35 entitled "Performance Guarantee and Responsible Corporate Official". This requirement does not apply to Offerors who propose subcontracts provided that the Offeror is not a joint venture, Limited Liability Company, or similar entity.

Question/Comment #91Reference

L.3 (c) and L.3 (k). It appears that above references are contradictory since Section K is required to be submitted in both paragraphs.

Question/Comment

Where does the government want Section K to be located?

Answer #91

L.3(k) requires Offerors, teaming partners, and subcontractors to submit the Representations, Certifications and Other Statements of Bidders/Offerors that are in Section K. L.3(c) requires Offerors to submit Section K as part of Volume I of their proposals.

Question/Comment #92Reference

Part III, Section J, Attachment A, *Reporting Requirements Checklist*. It appears that at the bottom of page J-11 through J-18 is a duplication of pages J-1 through J-10.

Question/Comment

Is this an administrative error?

Answer #92

See response to Question/Comment #14.

Question/Comment #93Reference

III, Section J, Attachment A, *Reporting Requirements Checklist*. Pages J-10 through J-11 reflects reports that are currently provided by incumbent but not in the contract.

Question/Comment

Will the Offeror be required to provide these reports or will these requirements be eliminated?

Answer #93

The Offeror will be required to provide all of the reports listed in the Reporting Requirements Checklist.

Question/Comment #94Reference

Part I, Section C, C.5.4.7, *Design/Engineering Services for Small Construction Projects*, page C-21. It appears that this first paragraph is missing words..."may require design engineering..."

Question/Comment

This has been corrected.

Answer #94

See final Request for Proposals for revision.

Question/Comment #95Reference

Part I, Section C, C.5.4.3 *Equipment Maintenance/Locksmith*.

Part I, Section C, C.5.5.7 *Logistics*.

It appears that both paragraphs have duplicate functions concerning maintenance of weapons and communications/electronics.

Question/Comment

Will the government provide additional clarification of the services required by these two paragraphs?

Answer #95

In the final Request for Proposals, all functions concerning the maintenance of weapons and communications/electronics are included in C.5.4.3 *Equipment and Facilities Maintenance*.

Question/Comment #96Reference

Part I, Section C, C.5.2.4 *Aviation Operations*.

Question/Comment

Will the Offeror be required to have Part 10 CFR 135.25 Certification in place upon contract award?

Answer #96

As stated in Section C.5.2.4, *Aviation Operations*, the Contractor shall provide aviation operations that are compliant with the applicable parts of 14 CFR Chapter 1, DOE M 470.4-3 Chg 1, and DOE O 440.2B Chg 1. The Contractor will have a transition period as discussed in Paragraph C.5.6(a) to ensure that the security contractor aviation program is compliant with applicable Federal regulations.

Question/Comment #97Reference

Part I, Section H, H.43 *Mentor-Protégé Program*.

Question/Comment

Should the last sentence, "DOE Mentor-Protégé Agreements..." read "SBA Mentor-Protégé Agreements..."?

Answer #97

The clause is correct as written. DOE has its own Mentor-Protégé program.

Question/Comment #98Reference

Part II, Section I *Contract Clauses*. It appears that there is administrative error with the duplication of Section I clauses (I-i to I-v and I-1 to I-5)

Question/Comment

Which is correct?

Answer #98

There is no duplication. I-i to I-v is the Table of Contents that includes both clauses incorporated by reference and clauses in full text. I-1 to I-3 lists all of the applicable clauses incorporated by reference under the heading "Clauses Incorporated by Reference". Page I-4 begins the applicable clauses that are in full text.

Question/Comment #99Reference

Volume I (i) *Corporate Governance* and Volume II Sub-Factor 2 page L-15. It appears that both volumes require narrative on corporate governance but Volume I requests information for Corporate Board of Directors for all Offerors and Volume II requests information for Board of Directors for only JVs or LLCs. In addition Volume II reference requires narrative on oversight responsibilities for the parent corporation and Volume I reference requires narrative on oversight responsibilities for the Board of Directors.

Question/Comment

Should requirements be consolidated into one volume? Should oversight responsibilities be provided for both corporate and the Board of Directors?

Answer #99

The Business Approach evaluation factor in Volume II is requesting much more detailed information regarding the Offeror's organizational structure than L.3(i) entitled "Corporate Governance" to allow the Government to assess the demonstrated ability of the Offeror's organizational structure to allocate resources to meet contractual requirements. Information on the Board of Directors of joint ventures and Limited Liability Companies is being requested under the Business Approach evaluation factor because these Offerors consist of multiple entities and the Government needs to be able to evaluate how all of these entities will work together to ensure successful contract performance. For example, ownership percentages of the partner entities are

requested under the Business Approach evaluation factor for joint ventures and Limited Liability Companies to allow the Government to better assess the extent to which the partner entities are likely to contribute resources to the successful execution of the contract. The same level of detail is not being requested in L.3(i) in the "Corporate Governance" narrative as is being requested in the Business Approach evaluation factor.

Question/Comment #100

Reference

Volume II Subfactor 2 page L-14. "The Offeror shall also identify the number and categories of Key Personnel by organizational element and discuss their functions".

Question/Comment

Please clarify the term "categories". Does this term refer to one of the conditions for a security clearance found in Clause H.49?

Answer #100

This language has been revised as follows:

The Offeror shall also identify the proposed Key Personnel by organizational element and discuss their functions.

Question/Comment #101

Reference

L.15. *Uncompensated Overtime*.

Question/Comment

What Volume should this requirement be listed?

Answer #101

The Offeror's policy regarding uncompensated overtime should be addressed in the Volume III cost proposal.

Question/Comment #102

Reference

Volume II. Sub-Factor 1 (3) Training.

Question/Comment

Will Offerors be provided a grace period to achieve CALEA certification as a Public Safety Training Academy and achieve Institutional Academy certification from the South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy.

Answer #102

See response to Question/Comment #21.

Question/Comment #103

Reference

Part I. C.5.4 *Security/Safety Operations*.

Question/Comment

C.5.4 is titled *Security/Safety Operations*. However, Safety Program sections are not included in this section, and appear to be more appropriately discussed in C.5.5 (specifically C.5.2... *Safety and Health Program*) Please discuss your intent with the reference to "Safety" in C.5.4.

Answer #103

The title of C.5.4 has been changed to *Security Support Functions* in the final Request for Proposals.

Question/Comment #104

Reference

I-87 and I-96 clauses

Question/Comment

I-87 and I-96 appear to be similar (if not identical) ISM DEAR Clauses. Please explain the differences in these clauses.

Answer #104

Clause I-96 has been deleted from the final Request for Proposals.

Question/Comment #105

Reference

Attachment L-9 Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Program Targets.

Question/Comment

Please confirm that the completed form should be included in Volume II Sub-Factor 2 since it will identify the Total Estimated Cost Plus Award Fee dollar amount of the Offerors' proposals. Other instructions of the solicitation require that no pricing information be included in the technical proposal.

Answer #105

See L.5(a) for the revision to the final Request for Proposals.